2/04/ 11 paled 11 CA 10565 NMG IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS UNITED STATES OF AMERICA * ex rel. Civil Action No._____ Brent Boerger Plaintiffs. FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO 31 U.S.C. § v. 3730(b)(2) DO NOT PLACE IN PRESS BOX Applied Research Associates Inc. *** DO NOT ENTER ON PACER**

Introduction

Defendant.

1. Brent Boerger (the "Relator") brings this action on behalf of the United States of America against defendant Applied Research Associates, Inc.(ARA) for treble damages and civil penalties arising from the defendant's false statements and false claims in violation of the Civil False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729 *et seq.* The violations arise out of fraudulent charges for labor, overhead, costs and fees, made to various United States Armed Forces Agencies.

2. As required by the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(2), the Relator has provided to the Attorney General of the United States and to the United States Attorney for the District of Massachusetts a statement of all material evidence and information related to the complaint. This disclosure statement is supported by material evidence known to Relator at his filing, establishing the existence of defendant's false claims. Because the statement includes attorney-client communications and work product of Relator's attorneys, and is submitted to the Attorney General and to the United States Attorney in their capacity as potential co-counsel in the litigation, the Relator understands this disclosure to be confidential.

Jurisdiction and Venue

3. This action arises under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729 *et seq.* This Court has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §§ 3732(a) and 3730(b). This court also has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1345 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a), because the Defendant transacts business within the State of Massachusetts and has Offices in Waltham, Massachusetts. **Parties**

5. Relator Brent Boerger is a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of Vermont.

6. Relator is an original source of this information to the United States. He has direct and independent knowledge of the information on which the allegations are based and has voluntarily provided the information to the Government before filing an action under the False Claims Act which is based on the information.

7. The United States of America ("U.S.") through various branches of the Department of Defense, funds various projects for the development of products, systems and services supporting the operations of the U.S. Military Services. In dealing with the United States Government, the law prohibits knowing submissions of falsified or inflated charges; requires complete truthfulness in representations, claims and certifications and prohibits untruthfulness and fraud.

8. Defendant Applied Research Associates Inc.(ARA Inc.) is a New Mexico Corporation, with its principal place of business in New Mexico but which also operates and does business in twenty two (22) states and Canada,. The Defendant ARA Inc. has an office and does business within the Commonwea lth of Massachusetts and provides services and products to the United States Military under numerous contracts.

Facts Common to All Counts

9. Various contracts relevant to this claim, were and are funded both directly and indirectly by United States taxpayers and the U.S. Treasury.

10. One of the contracts fraudulent billed, as it relates to this case, was funded by the U.S. Army Night Vision and Electronic Sensors Directorate (NVESD) and known as the Nemesis Robotic Demining System contract #W909My-07-C-0028 (ARA Project # 18274). ("The NEMESIS Project"). The Statement of Work on NEMESIS called for enhancement of a previously developed platform of a lightweight utility tracked vehicle as a base platform with a portable operator control system to enable remote operation and command as well as built in sensors to detect landmines. <u>Exhibit A.</u>

11. Another contract was funded by the U.S. Naval Air Systems Command (NAVAIR). The NAVAIR contract, N00421-08-D-0005 Delivery order #0001valued at \$1.72M, was issued to explore a set of technologies including a thin film deposition system, magnetic memory device for radiation-hardened applications, see thru walls, radio frequency (RF) technology and a laser based water contaminant inspection system. ("The NAVAIR Project").

12. The Defendant ARA knowingly and purposely billed labor time, overhead, costs and fees to The NEMESIS Project from unrelated work done within ARA on the following projects which had nothing to do with NEMESIS or NAVAIR: 1) Nighthawk (micro UAV unmanned aerial vehicle).; 2) LRV support (small ground robot); 3) RADAS (bomb damage assessment tool using UAVs'); 4) Cavehawk (UAVs to detect caves with high resolution photography); 5) IR&D (to study and develop ideas for new projects for ARA); 6) Towhawk (UAV launchable from Bradley fighting vehicle).

13. From October 15, 2007 to the present date, the Relator has served as Senior

Engineer and Group Leader at ARA in Williston Vermont, responsible for oversight and timely completion of work on the NAVAIR Project.

14. During the course of his work for the Defendant ARA, The Relator learned that a significant amount of labor, overhead, costs and fees were being fraudulently billed to the NEMESIS contract. This information was observed by him on contract labor and cost spreadsheets and he was informed of these facts by others within the company.

15. On direct evidence by way of budget documents and on information provided by others, the amounts fraudulently billed to the U.S. Government exceeds several million dollars.

16. The Relator observed documents showing that inventory costs were billed on specific items never related in any way to the NAVAIR contract. More specifically, Relator observed documents which revealed that fictitious amounts allocated for laboratory equipment and costs including electricity were improperly charged to the NAVAIR. Some of the laboratory equipment charged against the contract had been destroyed.

17. ARA fraudulently charged The NEMESIS Project contract for labor time actually spent on internal ARA company Research and Development (R & D) projects which do not relate to any direct or indirect work on the NEMESIS Project. These charges were purposeful and done knowingly.

18. The Defendant ARA knowingly, or with reckless disregard or deliberate ignorance, submitted false claims for payment to the Government with respect to the projects mentioned. Detailed information about this fraud was conveyed directly to ARA's internal Compliance Director, Jack McChesney for review and investigation as

early as the summer of 2009. Despite this, ARA has not only failed to properly investigate and report the fraud, but has also continued and still continues its fraudulent billing onto various Government contracts into the present date.

20. On information and belief, the practice of fraudulent billing on contracts with the U.S. Government is not an isolated practice limited to ARA Vermont, but rather is a practice engaged company-wide. This information has been relayed to the Relator from a variety of sources in different locations within the company, sometimes at leadership training conferences, which the Relator attends with Group Leaders from around the nation.

21. Under Defendant ARA's management bonus structure, management bonuses are based, in part on maintaining project budgets and keeping company overhead to a minimum. The overhead factor for ARA is tightly controlled and allocated across company operations. The company would not carry employees on its company overhead, so the employees must be billing a contract. This overhead control, combined with the management bonus structure, has fueled a culture of fraud and abuse, in which existing Government contracts are billed for time spent on non-contract matters, including time spent on research and development projects for the company. Such actions are fraudulent in direct violations of law including 31 U.S.C. 3729 et seq. and 18 U.S.C. 1031.

22. Vertek is a subdivision of ARA. Until approximately October 2007, Vertek, which develops geotechnical engineering and large scale robotic apparatus, was primarily working on a project called the ARTS program (*All-purpose Remote Transport System*) related to a Robotically controlled set of tracked vehicles for the Air Force "The ARTS" Contract. This was the primary contract on which the Vertek division was engaged and

the contract had a value of approximately \$35 million for five years or \$7 million per year. That contract reached conclusion around 2007 to the best of Relator's knowledge. However some individuals in the Vertek division are still on the payroll and have been billing their time. These are the same individuals whose names and time are listed on the NEMESIS billing spreadsheets.

23. Since the Relator first reported the improper billing internally, he has been subjected to retaliation by ARA management. For a period of over a year, the Relator has received no support or approval for the marketing of potential DOD projects. Without said support, as ARA Management knows, the Relator cannot obtain contract work from the Government. This in direct retaliation for his reporting the improper billings and has resulted in his inability to obtain contracts for further ARA work. In September 2010, ARA Division Manager David Timian specifically informed the Relator that the reason he was not getting support for his marketing efforts was because he had gone to ARA corporate in New Mexico to disclose the fraudulent billing instead of discussing the issue in the Vermont location alone. In other words, had the Relator kept quiet about the fraud he had seen, he would have received the marketing and appropriation support to obtain other contract work in order to sustain his continued work at ARA.

24. The Relator and others reported the fraudulent billing internally to, among others, the Chief Compliance Officer in New Mexico, Jack McChesney, in the company home office. The Defendant ARA chose to ignore the information provided by the Relator and ultimately retaliated against him for his efforts in disclosure and investigation. Hence the Defendant ARA possessed actual knowledge of the fraudulent schemes as they were implementing and exploiting them and they endorsed and

encouraged or directed the implementation of the fraudulent schemes. The Defendant ARA and its management knew, or recklessly disregarded or deliberately ignored the fact that ARA was and still is issuing fraudulent invoices to the Government of The United States.

COUNT I

False Billings Services Not Rendered FCA Section 3729(a)(1)

25. Relator re-alleges and incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1-24 as if fully set forth herein.

26. The Defendant ARA Inc. knowingly falsely represented that work was performed on contracts that was actually not in fact performed on said contracts. ARA made or caused to be made specific claims for payment under the NEMESIS Project contract and the NAVAIR projects and others, as set forth above, which were false.

27. The Defendant ARA Inc. made these misrepresentations to obtain payment funds to which they would not otherwise be entitled.

28. The course of conduct violated the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. sections 3729 et seq.

29. The U.S. Government, unaware of the falsity of the claims and/or statements, and in reliance on the accuracy thereof, was damaged to the extent that these funds were paid for services not rendered on the contracts in question.

30. The Defendant ARA knowingly, or with reckless disregard or deliberate ignorance, submitted false claims for payment to the Government with respect to numerous contracts in various locations.

31. All of these actions and course of conduct violated the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. sections 3729 et. seq.

32. The Defendant ARA is liable under FCA sections 3729(a)(1) and 3730 for: (a) civil penalties in the maximum amount of Eleven Thousand Dollars (\$11,000) for each of these claims plus (b) three times the amount of damages that the Government has sustained as a result of the Defendant's false claims, plus (c) reasonable attorney's fees and other expenses and costs.

COUNT II

Retaliation in Violation of 31 U.S.C. Section 3730(h)

33. Relator re-alleges and incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1-32 as if fully set forth herein.

34. During the period 2009 to the present, qui tam Relator Brent Boerger was shunned and excluded from the support necessary to market work for ARA, as a result of his lawful acts and done in furtherance of this action, including complaints to corporate officials regarding the false claims described herein. This harassment was a violation of 31 U.S.C. Section 3730(h).

35. As a direct and proximate result of this unlawful and discriminatory harassment, plaintiff suffered mental anguish together with economic hardship. ARA has a bonus program for each new customer brought and stock options valued at 3% of the contract value. As a result of the retaliation he has been unable to avail himself of either bonuses or stock options related to new contracts.

WHEREFORE, the Relator respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment against

the Defendant ARA as follows:

- (a) That the U.S. be awarded damages in the amount of three times the damages sustained by the U.S. because of the false claims and fraud alleged within this Complaint, as the Civil False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729 et seq. provides;
- (b) That civil penalties of \$11,000 be imposed for each and every false claim that defendant presented to the U.S. and/or its grantees;
- (c) That pre- and post-judgment interest be awarded, along with reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses which the Relator necessarily incurred in bringing and pressing this case;
- (d) That the Court grant permanent injunctive relief to prevent any recurrence of the False Claims Act for which redress is sought in this Complaint;
- (e) That the Relator be awarded the maximum amount allowed to her pursuant the False Claims Act; and
- (f) For Count II, that Relator be granted all relief necessary to make her whole, including but not limited to two times her back pay and other compensatory damages sustained as a result of defendants' harassment and retaliation; and
- (g) That this Court award such other and further relief as it deems proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

RELATOR, ON BEHALF OF HIMSELF AND THE UNITED STATES, DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL ON ALL CLAIMS ALLEGED HEREIN.

Respectfully submitted

Jeffrey'A. Newman Esq. Ma. BBO # 370450 Law Offices of Jeffrey A. Newman

& Associates One Story Terrace Marblehead, Ma. 01945 617-823-3217

Counsel for Relator

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on this ____ day of April, 2011, a copy of the foregoing

Complaint was delivered by First Class Mail to:

Carmen M. Ortiz Esq. United States Attorney for The District of Massachusetts John J. Moakley Building U.S. Courthouse 1 Courthouse Way Suite 9200 Boston Ma. 02110

Eric Holder United States Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Blvd. N.W. Washington D.C. 20530-0001

man

Modular Robotic Control System for Landmine Detection

John P. Wetzel, Gregory M. Schultz, Martin G. Midura, John-Michael Taylor

Applied Research Associates, Inc., New England Division, South Royalton, VT 05068

ABSTRACT

A Modular Robotic Control System (MRCS) has been developed and integrated on a light utility tracked vehicle for landmine detection technology applications. The MRCS architecture consists of three main elements: 1) a man-portable Operator Control Station (OCS); 2) Platform Control Components (PCC); and 3) a wireless data and video link. The OCS provides the remote operator with command, control, and communication with the PCC located on the vehicle platform. The PCC consists of control nodes linked by high speed Ethernet. The wireless data and video link incorporates radios and antennas to transmit video and send commands between the OCS and the platform PCC. The MRCS is designed to be compliant with the Joint Architecture for Unmanned Systems (JAUS). Closed-loop speed control of the vehicle platform was developed to provide the required slow speed operation for the landmine detection subsystem. Current project efforts are focused on navigation and mapping development for MRCS and application of the landmine detection subsystem. consisting of ground penetrating radar and metal detector arrays.

Key words: Robotics, Control, Navigation, JAUS, Humanitarian Demining, Landmine Detection.

I. INTRODUCTION

Proliferation of landmines is a global problem. Many areas of the world have been devastated in the aftermath of wars and regional power struggles, often leaving minefields filled with unexploded ordnance (UXO). In most areas there is no easy way to identify the location of minefields or individual mines, and mines can remain active for many years. Worldwide, it is estimated that there are 45 to 50 million landmines that claim an estimated 15,000 to 20,000 victims per year in some 90 countries. In Afghanistan during 2000, mines claimed 150 to 300 victims per month, half of them children. The United States currently invests about \$100M annually in HD mine clearance. At the current rate of mine clearance, it would take 450 to 500 years to clearance of existing landmines complete the (MacDonald, 2003). Therefore, development of more effective and safe means to detect and neutralize landmines is required.

Robotics provides a safe alternative to extremely hazardous operations that are conducted manually, such as current Humanitarian Demining (HD) efforts. Despite improvements in both military and civilian mine detection equipment, HD remains a slow, hazardous, and laborintensive task. The methods currently employed are very similar to those used near the end of World War II. The inherent dangers of mine removal coupled with the growing number of mines emplaced each year have created an urgent requisite for equipment capable of reducing the number of personnel involved in clearance missions. The Nemesis project application of the MRCS is intended to limit the need for manual mine detection by providing a means for the reliable detection of landmines from a remotely operated vehicle.

II. ROBOTIC CONTROL SYSTEM

The MRCS architecture incorporates a modular design providing remote control of vehicle functions and control of payload tools. Manual operation capability of the platform is maintained, and MRCS is compliant with the latest Joint Architecture for Unmanned Systems standards. Modularity of the MRCS also facilitates application of this control system to various vehicle platforms as required for different specific mission applications.

For the Nemesis project HD employment (Wetzel and Smith, 2003), we utilized a lightweight, utility-tracked vehicle as the base platform. This platform provides significant ground clearance and is controlled through electro-hydraulic valves, which facilitates integration of the MRCS. Reinforced rubber tracks provide a low average ground pressure, and an excellent suspension system provides a stable ride.

MRCS components incorporate three primary elements: 1) a portable Operator Control Station (OCS); 2) Platform Control Components (PCC); and 3) a wireless data and video link. Each of these three major elements is discussed in greater detail in the sections that follow, as well as a brief description of the software architecture and its application for JAUS-compliancy. The Nemesis robotic platform with integrated MRCS components is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Nemesis HD Robotic Platform

A. Operator Control Station

The OCS, shown in Figure 2, is a man-portable unit that supports all command, control, and communications to the target platform. The OCS can be powered by either a 12-volt DC source or a 110-volt AC source. The OCS is 53 cm (21 in) wide, 43 cm (17 in) deep and 19 cm (7.5 in) thick, and weighs approximately 20 kg (45 lbs). External features of the OCS include:

- Two joysticks (one pistol-grip) to control platform or payload functions, and to control the camera selection and pan/tilt/zoom functions
- 15-inch video screen in the lid of the unit
- 12-inch touch-screen
- Keyboard
- Emergency Stop (E-stop) button
- On/Off switch and connection ports



Figure 2. Operator Control Station (OCS)

Operation of the robotic platform is performed through control of the joysticks and functions on the touchscreen. Joysticks provide control of mobility and the loader arms as well as camera control functions (camera select and pan/tilt/zoom).

The touch-screen monitor allows the operator to implement different tools for conducting specific mission functions using simple icon buttons on the screen. For example, the joystick control functions could be switched from driving mode to controlling a robotic manipulator arm used to deploy an air ablation tool for removing soil overburden from a landmine. This facile method for remapping the joystick provides a versatile tool on the OCS to facilitate incorporation of alternative control functions as they become necessary. The touch-screen is also used to view other feedback data from the system, such as platform status (e.g., fuel level, hydraulic oil temp), target discrimination data from landmine detectors, and navigation and positioning graphical maps from a Global Positioning System (GPS).

The video screen displays feedback from cameras on the platform. Views can be set up to display a single camera view, a combined quad-view from four cameras, or a picture-in-picture view of selected cameras through a multiplexed signal.

B. Platform Control Components

Architecture of the PCC, located on the robotic platform, is fully modular and highly scalable. Adding a new payload can be accomplished by plugging the payload node into the network on the platform and selecting the payload configuration library at the OCS for control and display. Control for the vehicle platform is accomplished through a single control node on the PCC.

Actuation of platform functions through the PCC is accomplished through a combination of valve, linkage, and electronic controls. The method of controlling through hydraulic pilot valves enhances remote control performance and reduces maintenance requirements of actuator hardware components. On the Nemesis base platform, hydraulic pilot valves are used to control movement of the left & right tracks and the lift & tilt of the loader arms. An electric actuator is used to manipulate the throttle linkage. Other platform functions, such as lights and engine start, are controlled electronically through switches and relays.

Components added to the platform were packaged to facilitate installation and maintenance. Mounting of components does not interfere with manual control of the platform. Using an Ethernet network and working to maintain modularity enabled us to use portable component enclosures of minimum size and weight to facilitate installation and preserve space in the cab. The primary MRCS enclosures are mounted in a roof-rack on the Nemesis platform, as shown in Figure 3, and include: Vehicle Control Unit (VCU), Vehicle Radio Unit (VRU), Camera Multiplexer Unit (CMU), and Power Distribution Unit (PDU).



Figure 3. Platform Control Components (PCC) on Roof-Rack

We implement four cameras as part of the Nemesis robotic platform. Fixed wide-angle cameras are mounted on the front and rear of the platform for forward and reverse driving. Two additional cameras (visible zoom and infrared) are mounted on a pan/tilt unit. Feedback from the cameras is provided at the OCS video display, with options to display a single camera view or a split-screen with multiple views as noted in the previous section.

C. Data and Video Link

Some operational environments dictate specific methods of RF (radio frequency) transmission; therefore, the MRCS is designed to facilitate change-out of radios as needed. The radios are external to the OCS and other platform components so they can be easily exchanged. The current Nemesis wireless RF system consists of two radios: a frequency-hopping spread-spectrum FreeWave data transceiver for command and control; and a DTC digital video radio for video feedback. Operational range of the platform is limited by the video radio. The DTC digital video radios have a range of several miles with power settings at less than 1 Watt.

An Alternate Control System (ACS) has also been developed to provide communication and control for the robotic platform from the OCS through fiber optic tether in place of the wireless RF. The ACS is integrated on a spool that automatically feeds the tether out as the platform travels to perform a mission, and automatically re-spools the tether as the platform returns. Applications of the ACS include urban operations and overseas training missions where frequency allocation is a problem.

D. Software and JAUS-Compliancy

The MRCS software architecture is based around two primary components: 1) operator control from the OCS and 2) vehicle control at the VCU. The OCS command structure includes control of platform mobility, cameras and any payloads. OCS software also provides feedback and display of platform and payload status (e.g., gauges, mapping location, and detection sensor information). The VCU receives commands from the OCS and executes the desired functions on the platform.

MRCS software is designed to be compliant with the Joint Architecture for Unmanned Systems. JAUS standards provide specifications for command and control messaging, and are focused on application of interoperability for robotic systems. The goal is for any JAUS-compliant OCS to have the ability to control any JAUS-compliant robot and payload.

III. NAVIGATION CONTROL DEVELOPMENT

Recent MRCS efforts have focused on development of navigation technologies to support robotic applications requiring more autonomous capability. Initial efforts for development of closed-loop speed control and vector drive navigation are discussed in the following sections.

A. Closed-Loop Speed Control

Humanitarian demining missions require very slow operational speeds, nearing the physical limitation of the platform's ability. To meet this operational requirement, closed-loop speed control was designed to provide the capability to drive very slowly (< 0.5 km/hr) over varying terrain at different engine rpm (revolutions per minute – i.e., throttle setting) levels. Both hardware and software enhancements were needed to accomplish this task.

A control loop was devised that would use position feedback from the tracks to control the platform hydraulic valves to maintain a constant speed. Control algorithms were developed and implemented on-board the platform. The control software was written as a separate module from the rest of the VCU on-board code so it could easily be ported to a different control node enclosure later for separate implementation. Two types of sensors were tested on the tracks to provide the position feedback: a high-resolution optical encoder mounted to the rear track wheels; and a low-resolution Hall effect sensor integrated with the track motors. Detailed evaluations proved that either sensor provided the necessary feedback to exceed the slow speed requirement, obtaining constant speeds of less than 0.3 km/hr.

B. Navigation and Mapping

Navigation development efforts began with design and application of a vector drive algorithm for the robotic platform. Vector navigation provides autonomous control of direction (i.e., heading) and speed, and is the core building block for autonomous motion. Heading feedback is provided by a compass sensor. With operator input for a given heading and speed, the vector navigation function provides autonomous hands-free motion in which the platform rotates to the commanded direction and then drives in that direction at the commanded speed. The OCS provides an interactive Graphical User Interface (GUI) on the touch-screen display. The customized windows and controls provide options for different functionality depending on the application. For vector navigation, the OCS GUI provides a compass heading that displays the current heading and allows the operator to select the desired heading. The commanded speed is also included in the GUI, as shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. OCS GUI for Vector Navigation

Current work efforts are focused on application of waypoint navigation algorithms using feedback from a GPS/INS (Global Positioning System / Inertial Navigation System) integrated sensor suite to provide autonomous control of position and speed. Using waypoint navigation the platform travels autonomously from its current GPS location to a desired GPS location, while platform motion is regulated to stay within a predefined corridor between the GPS locations. The platform will then proceed to the next waypoint location. Display on the OCS provides a graphic map representation of the vehicle position and path.

IV. LANDMINE DETECTION

Detailed investigations were conducted to determine the leading sensor technologies for application on the Nemesis landmine detection system. A central finding of this sensor study was that a single technology could not meet the detection requirements of the program. Therefore, our approach to detection considers the use of multiple, complementary sensors. Based on successes of field-testing and results of our detailed evaluation, a stepped frequency Ground Penetrating Synthetic Aperture Radar (GPSAR) array and a time domain Electromagnetic Inductance (EMI) array were selected as the primary detection sensors for the Nemesis program. Navigation and positioning is provided from the robotic platform to aid in correlation of data from the two sensors. The following sections provide descriptions of the sensor array hardware and the data processing and algorithm development.

A. Sensor Arrays

The radar and EMI sensors were selected for their ability to detect both antipersonnel (AP) and antitank (AT) landmines; the array configuration leads to improved ground coverage and performance. These arrays are capable of high spatial resolution (3 cm or less) and overlapping detections, thus increasing both the precision and accuracy of the overall system. During a scan, the system collects data from each of the sensing elements, effectively imaging a portion of the subsurface. Consequently, a single scan images the response to subsurface targets in three-dimensions. The ability to include depth information is an extremely valuable asset to target classification.

The GPSAR array comprises a 2.0-meter wide antenna array and the associated electronics. Multiple transmit and receive antennas composed of circularly polarized spiral antenna elements embedded in printed circuit boards are used to acquire 46 independent real-aperture focal points across the array. The locations of transmit and receive antenna pairings are designed to optimize Opposite circular across-track image resolution. polarization is used for transmit and receive antennas in order to reduce antenna coupling effects and maximize The GPSAR array is a stepped the return signal. frequency continuous wave (SFCW) radar operating over the 400 MHz to 4 GHz range. An additional advantage of this stepped frequency system over comparable systems is the versatility of designing the frequency spectrum to adjust for soil conditions or clutter.

The EMI detector consists of a 2.0-meter wide array and associated electronics. It uses a multi-period sensing technology to account for variability in soil conductivity, including highly mineralized soils. The system also incorporates bipolar transmission from a single transmitter coil and an array of receiver coils to reduce the effect of mutual coupling from magnetically influenced mines. A major innovation of the EMI array is its ability to compensate for variability in soil properties without loss of sensitivity. Raw data or data images are generated from three output data types. Although the system will respond to all metallic targets, the varying responses from individual channels produce different information for discrimination of suspect targets.

Nemesis design accounts for the effects of operating multiple sensor systems simultaneously. The sensors are configured to minimize interference without any loss in sensitivity or performance. During evaluations, systems were operated with minimal impact on the test site. For example, sensor systems were mounted and operated at "standoff" and did not contact the ground. A picture of the integrated Nemesis System with the detection sensors attached to the robotic platform is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Detection Sensor Arrays Attached to Robotic Platform

B. Data Processing and Algorithm Development

The Data Acquisition System (DAS) provides central management and coordination of data acquired by the various peripheral sensors including the detection sensors and the navigation and positioning module sensors. The DAS logs spatially correlated data files from the detection sensors by utilizing the position feedback provided by the navigation and positioning module. These correlated data, as well as the velocity and position information, can be accessed by various user interfaces. Currently, the MRCS OCS displays the platform velocity and global position and heading data recorded by the DAS.

A primary focus of the Nemesis Program is on processing the detection sensor array test data and developing algorithms for signal post-processing, automatic target cueing, and discrimination and classification (Zachery, Schultz and Collins, 2005). Our goal is to fuse source sensor data and/or extracted sensor information to provide an increased level of mine detection while minimizing false alarms. Extensive preliminary testing has yielded results that define system integration issues and constrain detection performance for each sensor array. An important focus of testing is the characterization of signal-, image-, and physicsbased features used in discriminating targets from Acquisition of single and dual-mode data clutter. collected over simulants, landmines, and UXO facilitates the development of a preliminary library of system target responses from which optimal features are determined. The detection software system will also exploit spatial registration and multi-sensor data fusion algorithms to

provide real-time automatic target recognition information to the user.

V. SUMMARY

The Nemesis MRCS and detection sensors are being developed to provide a safe, remotely operated system from which to conduct Humanitarian Demining operations. The detection array mounted to the front of the platform will be used to identify potential landmine targets through the integration and data fusion of a multisensor array. This integrated system will be operated from a safe distance through the MRCS, controlled through a set of joysticks and displays at the OCS.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Nemesis project MRCS and detection efforts are being conducted under the direction of the Humanitarian Demining Research & Development Program Group of the U.S. Army Night Vision and Electronic Sensors Directorate (NVESD), Ft. Belvoir, VA.

REFERENCES

- Wetzel, John, and Bart Smith (2003), "Landmine Detection and Neutralization from a Robotic Platform", EUDEM2-SCOT, International Conference on Requirements and Technologies for the Detection, Removal, and Neutralization of Landmines and UXO, Brussels, September, 2003.
- MacDonald, Jacqueline, et.al. (2003), "Alternatives for Landmine Detection". Published by RAND, 1700 Main Street, P.O. Box 2138, Santa Monica, CA 90407-2138.
- Zachery, K., Schultz, G., and L. Collins (2005), "Force Protection Demining System (FPDS) Detection Subsystem", International Society for Optical Engineering (SPIE), Defense and Security Symposium, 2005.

CONTACT

John P. Wetzel Senior Engineer Applied Research Associates, Inc. 415 Waterman Road South Royalton, VT 05068 Phone: (802) 763-8348 Fax: (802) 763-8283 Email: jwetzel@ara.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA* <i>ex rel.</i> Brent Boerger	* Civil Action No
Plaintiffs, v.	* FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(2) *
	DO NOT PLACE IN PRESS BOX

Applied Research Associates Inc. DO NOT PLACE IN PRESS B * DO NOT ENTER ON PACER

Defendant.

MOTION TO FILE COMPLAINT IN CAMERA AND TO PLACE COMPLAINT UNDER SEAL

Proceeding in the name of the United States under the Civil False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3730 *et seq.*, Relator hereby moves for an order filing the complaint and all other papers in this matter *in camera* and placing the complaint and those papers under seal, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(2). In support of this motion, plaintiff attaches hereto a copy of 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(2), which provides that complaints in civil False Claims Act cases "shall be filed *in camera*, shall remain under seal for at least 60 days, and shall not be served on the defendant until the court so orders."

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests this Court to grant her motion.

Dated: $\frac{4/\sigma_4}{1}$

Respectfully submitted

B/ B/Jewn-Jeffrey A. Newman Esq.

Jeffrey A. Newman Esq. Ma. BBO # 370450 Law Offices of Jeffrey A. Newman & Associates One Story Terrace Marblehead, Ma. 01945

617-823-3217

1è

Counsel for Relator

Q